United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,256	11/20/2003	Jan Frans Lucien Craninckx	02-ZAV-221	8145
	7590 12/29/2006 CIRRONS CUTMAN RO	EXAMINER		
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANCO P.L. ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOCA RATON		2611		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/718,256	CRANINCKX, JAN FRANS LUCIEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Pankaj Kumar	2611				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIII - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutore precived by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	November 2002					
2a)□		•					
3)	<u>, </u>						
۵,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		Ex parte dayle, 1000 C.D	11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a		objected to by the Exam	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	\square All b) \square Some * c) \boxtimes None of:	r phonty under 60 0.0.0. g	110(a)-(a) or (i).				
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea		received in this ivational	Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	eceived				
		. 2 ooranioa copico not i					
Attachmen	ıt(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	formal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Europe on November 21, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Europe 02-447228.4 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because title should be removed from the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because the boxes in the drawings should be labeled with alphabetic text in the drawings for better readability, i.e. using words or acronyms such as VCO, Main Loop Filter, etc. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

Art Unit: 2611

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 6. As per claim 1-16, the claims disclose a process (method) that manipulates only number, abstract concepts or ideas or representing any of the foregoing, the claims are not being applied to an appropriate subject matter.
- 7. From MPEP 2106: "The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. The purpose of this requirement is to limit patent protection to inventions that possess a certain level of "real world" value, as opposed to subject matter that represents nothing more than an idea or concept, or is simply a starting point for future investigation or research (Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 528-36, 148 USPQ 689, 693-96); In re Ziegler, 992, F.2d 1197, 1200-03, 26 USPQ2d 1600, 1603-06 (Fed. Cir. 1993))."
- 8. The claims are merely doing signal manipulation with no practical application, i.e. the claims manipulate only number, abstract concepts or ideas or in representing any of the foregoing, the claims are not being applied to an appropriate subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,256

Art Unit: 2611

Page 4

9. From MPEP 2106: "The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. The purpose of this requirement is to limit patent protection to inventions that possess a certain level of "real world" value, as opposed to subject matter that represents nothing more than an idea or concept, or is simply a starting point for future investigation or research (Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 528-36, 148 USPQ 689, 693-96); In re Ziegler, 992, F.2d 1197, 1200-03, 26 USPQ2d 1600, 1603-06 (Fed. Cir. 1993))."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-16 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pankaj Kumar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611